Müller, Serena (2018): The power of *masyarakat adat*. Negotiating culture and identity in the context of the indigenous movement in Indonesia. eDiss Open Access der Niedersächsischen Staats- und Universitätsbibliothek Göttingen (SUB), Göttingen.

#### Content:

#### 1. Introduction: Context of the research and theoretical framework

- 1.1 State of research
- 1.2 Research questions
- 1.3 Theoretical framework: *masyarakat adat* as a policy
- 1.4 Method: Positioning in a multi-sited research

### 2. AMAN's endeavor to shape a national policy of masyarakat adat

- 2.1 AMAN as an organization: establishing an internal structure
- 2.2 AMAN as a main actor in shaping masyarakat adat
- 2.3 Articulating an indigenous identity as masyarakat adat
- 2.4 Main fields of negotiation in shaping a national policy of masyarakat adat

#### 3. Case studies – how local groups negotiate their status as masyarakat adat

#### 4. Hibualamo as means of reconciliation between religions

- 4.1 Ethnographic background
- 4.2 Namotemo, his supporters and adat as a means of peacemaking
- 4.3 Marginalized positions
- 4.4 The imaginal of Hibualamo
- 4.5 Performing *masyarakat adat* Hibualamo during the opening ceremony of the national congress of *masyarakat adat* in 2012
- 4.6 The regional elections in December 2015
- 4.7 Conclusion

## 5. Masyarakat adat as strategy to claim exclusive land rights

- 5.1 Ethnographic background
- 5.2 Afrida Erna Ngato the current Sangaji supported by AMAN
- 5.3 The dominant imaginal of *masyarakat adat* Isam
- 5.4 Contestation of the imaginal of masyarakat adat Isam/Pagu
- 5.5 Performing *masyarakat adat* Isam during the demonstration against NHM in November 2012
- 5.6 Changes in 2015
- 5.7 Conclusion

# 6. Referring to treaties and fighting as strategy to realize *masyarakat adat* Rakyat Penunggu

- 6.1 Ethnographic background
- 6.2 BPRPI's leaders as central actors in shaping the imaginal of Rakyat Penunggu
- 6.3 The imaginal of Rakyat Penunggu
- 6.4 Performing *masyarakat adat* Rakyat Penunggu during a meeting of village heads in June 2012
- 6.5 Conclusion

#### 7. Conclusion: How powerful is masyarakat adat?

- 7.1 AMAN's endeavor to shape a national policy
- 7.2 Main actors in shaping masyarakat adat
- 7.3 AMAN's member groups
- 7.4 Outlook

#### **Summary:**

In 2007 Pratt called on social scientists to concentrate on the generativity of indigeneity. Heeding his call, this dissertation focusses on the multiplicity of processes induced by an identification as *masyarakat adat*. Indigeneity is perceived as an articulation and positioning rather than an essential characteristic of a person or group. Accordingly, instead of 'being indigenous' this thesis focuses on processes of 'becoming indigenous'. It analyzes how local groups of *masyarakat adat* negotiate their culture and identity within the indigenous movement in Indonesia. The focal point of the analysis is the Alliance of Indigenous Peoples of the Archipelago (AMAN), which was founded in 1999, benefitting from increased civic and political freedoms in the era of "Reformasi" after the fall of Suharto. The alliance took an important role in shaping the civic translation of "indigenous peoples" and today functions as umbrella organization for more than 2,300 groups of *masyarakat adat*.

The thesis is influenced by the anthropology of policy. It is divided into two parts. The first

The thesis is influenced by the anthropology of policy. It is divided into two parts. The first part focuses on the role of AMAN's national office in Jakarta and analyses the connections established to shape an imaginary of *masyarakat adat* and the policy of indigeneity in Indonesia. Furthermore, it examines the main actors' endeavors to spread the imaginary among its member groups. The second part focusses on local negotiations of groups in North Halmahera and North Sumatera, where influential actors encouraged the groups to become members in AMAN and foster an identification as *masyarakat adat*.

In doing so, the thesis points out the local, historical and context specific selection and interpretation of culture leading to very diverse framings of *masyarakat adat* created to promote specific local agendas. Furthermore, it reveals how main actors use a materialization of culture and joint performances to draw a link between their particular imaginary of *masyarakat adat* and the respective group. Ultimately, despite the generativity of *masyarakat adat* the membership in AMAN proves to be more attractive than the concept forged by the alliance, and both the local imaginaries and the connected groups remain highly temporary and fragile.